

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/028,467	12/19/2001	Kirk W. Skeba	42390P13006	2034		
7.	7590 06/08/2006			EXAMINER		
John Patrick Ward			LANIER, BENJAMIN E			
BLAKELY, SO	OKOLOFF, TAYLOR	& ZAFMAN LLP				
Seventh Floor		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
12400 Wilshire	Boulevard	2132				
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026			DATE MAILED: 06/08/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/028,467	SKEBA, KIRK W.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Benjamin E. Lanier	2132		

		2.02	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears or	n the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 19 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICAT	ION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the sathis application, applicant must timely file one of the following replaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with time periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, aff Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of	of the final rejection		
b) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of this Advisory	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ON TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on whi have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorten set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount led statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL		el al constant a como de	
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since
	ar to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered by	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but pri         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further consider</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>			ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better for	m for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corres		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See	* **		(DTOL 204)
<ul> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. Se</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ul>		impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable.		timely filed amondme	ant concoling the
non-allowable claim(s).	•	•	•
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  will how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided I. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and suffice was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	re or on the date of filing a No cient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Noti entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcon showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and	me <u>all</u> rejections under appea was not earlier presented.  S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a ).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of th REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	e status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ied.
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but does	NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12.   Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/statement)			
13.	6-15er	h Sun BARRON Jac	
	GILBERTO	BARRON JA	•
	SUPERVISORY PA	ATENT EXAMINER	
	201 FITAIOCITI 11	11 61 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Continuation of 3. NOTE: amendments specifying a first and second radio protocol and operations thereon, downloading protocols to a baseband module, and the baseband module operates under both the first and second radio protocols.